

Case History: Sudden Drop and Tilt of an Operating Coke Drum

Dave Dewees PE Bobby Wright PE

THE WORLD'S PREMIERE DELAYED COKING CONFERENCE REFEONING GLOBAL Virtual Conference 2020

2-6 November 2020 | part of the 📾 group

ENGINEERING SOLUTIONS | PLANT SERVICES | SOFTWARE TOOLS | Learning & Development

Event Description





Overview

- This presentation documents
 - The initial response
 - Repair planning and execution
 - Detailed benchmark analysis for
 - Root cause
 - Current damage tracking
 - Future correction







Drum Details

- 4 drum delayed coking unit, new drums in 2007
- Estimated 2,400 cycles at time of incident
- Drum and process details:
 - 29' 8" ID (to clad), 1.25Cr, 410S clad
 - 1.393" uniform wall thickness, 0.110" thick clad (1.503" total)
 - In-line skirt, weld build-up internal radius, 1" thick, 91"long
 - Single side inlet nozzle (original DeltaValve)
 - Shot coke
 - 16-17 hour cycle
 - Automated quench, 200 GPM initial rate for 1 hour
 - Skirt temperature of 300°F-500°F at switch to feed



Condition Description

- When insulation removed found that skirt was fractured through thickness essentially all the way around
- Drum/upper skirt had dropped inside lower skirt on one side and lifted off on other
- Other 3 drums found to have same (non-displaced) cracking pattern, but not yet through-thickness all the way around



© BECHT 2020. All rights reserved. 5

Skirt Condition

- No contact with (or support from) surrounding structure
- Minimal support from attached piping





Stability

- Drum was displaced with over 4 million pounds and did not move while being emptied
 - Empty weight of 850,000 lbf
 - Gives a margin on deadweight of 4MM/0.85MM = 4.7
- Finite Element Analysis (FEA) used to look at wind load
 - Only 5 contact points, gives deadweight failure load of 2,100,000 lbf (so analysis is conservative by about 2x)
 - Wind velocity with actual deadweight solved for using same model: >140 mph



Deadweight Only Simulation Results



Remediation

- Before skirt could be repaired it had to be lifted back into position
- Lift done from skirt-level deck
 - 16 lugs sized for lift using AISC and collapse analysis
 - Substantial impact factor
 - Tolerances and allowable offsets defined
 - Concrete deck qualified for loads
 - FEA and fracture mechanics performed for assumed existing ID flaws





Lift

- Skirt successfully lifted back in place and re-stabilized right after Christmas
- Damaged material removed and skirt prepped for welding
- 3 weeks from drum initially dropping inside skirt



From initial lift plan courtesy of Mammoet





Repair

- Some sections of skirt cut out and replaced, but most pulled back with key plates
- Crack was just low enough to allow internal radius to remain untouched when arc gouging out damaged material
- Repair welding completed round the clock with zero defects found using PAUT









Root Cause

- Previous drums had actually suffered very similar cracking and skirt failure (1995 to 2007)
 - Operation was more severe at that time
 - Basic dimensions the same: diameter/skirt length = 4.6
 - Very stiff skirt . . .
- Health monitoring systems (HMS) exist on two drums
 - 3 complete years of data evaluated (2010, 2016, 2018) for both drums
 - ≈ 1300 cycles





Drum-Skirt ∆T Data

- 2018 drum-skirt total ∆T (fill + quench) data shown below chronologically
- Worst location consistently moves around drum from cycle to cycle





Location of Max. ∆T Range	Number of Occurrences	Average Range of ΔT** (Δ°F)
Α	41	320
В	71	377
С	57	338
D	40	358

* Range of ΔT is difference between TC31 and TC32 at given orientation for both fill and quench (summed)

** average of all occurrences when location/orientation is overall maximum



FEA – Thermal Calibration

- Moving liquid level used for fill and quench, flow rate tuned to match TC data
- Hot box radiation included, heat transfer coefficients tuned (within physically reasonable bounds) as well





Stress Analysis Results (Min. Switch)

- Stresses are very large for worst case analyzed
- Stress range of ≈175 ksi





Fatigue Analysis Results

- 11% damage for 1 full year (228 cycles)
- 100% damage in 9 years (2049 cycles)
- 1.4 years to grow crack through skirt wall
- 10.4 years predicted for failure vs. 10-11 years actual





Drum-Skirt ∆T (Again . . .)

- Fill and Quench ∆T's separated below
- Most of variation and largest magnitudes comes during quench
- Quench is larger contributor to fatigue by about a factor of 3 to 1 here







Quench Rate Importance





Discussion

- Skirt design (length) is very important to reliability
- Calibrated analysis successfully used
- Health monitoring system was critical:
 - To reconstruct what happened daily operation and impact on damage was clear
 - Can now be used to track damage on a daily basis
 - And is invaluable for measuring effect of future operating changes
- Effort is now moving to life management and extension, considering not just the skirt but the drum condition as well

THANK YOU!

