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Coke Drum Bulging

• Known for decades.
• Potential serious consequences.
• Premature drum replacement.
• Despite design improvements, still very common.
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Bulging-Induced Cracks

INTERIOR
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Bulging Assessment per API-579 / ASME-FFS

• Level 1: N/A to coke drums
  – Fabrication tolerance.

• Level 2: N/A to coke drums

• Level 3: Infeasible and costly process
  – Lack of proper load definition.
  – Costly to obtain data.
  – Prohibitive to simulate bulging.
Current Industry Practice

• Stress analysis
• Strain analysis
Stress Analysis

• Linear elastic finite element analysis under unit load.
• Initial drum geometry includes bulges (no plastic strain).
• Assumes that stress concentration factors (SCF) correlate with severity.

• Advantages
  – Simple

• Disadvantages
  – Unrealistic model.
  – Excludes primary cause of bulging failure.
  – Susceptible to several error sources
  – Does not correlate with cracking history. Minimum SCF at peaks of bulges where most failures are observed.
Strain Analysis

• Plastic Strain Index (PSI)™
• High strain correlates with severity.
• Relates to failure limit of API 579/ ASME FFS

• Advantages:
  – Focuses on primary mode of failure.
  – Excellent correlation with bulging cracks.
  – Failure limits from an industry standard.

• Disadvantages:
  – Relatively new (since 2011).
Case Study

• Four sister drums commissioned in 1994.
• Observed bulging to various degrees
• Observed cracking
• Need:
  – Assess bulging and compare to cracks
    • SCF
    • PSI
  – Perform long-term repairs as needed
Equipment Description

• Inside diameter: 6.400 meters (21 ft).
• Tangent-to-tangent length: 22.6 meters (74 ft)
• Material: 1Cr - 1/2Mo with stainless steel clad (SA-240 TP405).
• Variable wall thickness: 12.5 to 25 mm (0.492 to 0.984 inch) with 3 mm clad.
• Nominal 48 hour full cycles (24 hour fill).
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Conclusions from Assessment

• Stress (SCF) and strain (PSI) analysis techniques produced significantly different results.
• SCFs appeared to be susceptible to several error sources such as drum ovality and bulge shape.
• PSI has correlated well with bulging-induced cracks.
Long-Term Bulging Repair

• Plan developed based on PSI results.
• Automated weld overlay is preferred because:
  1. Vast majority of drums are in excellent condition.
  2. No advanced-stage bulging found.
• Automated weld overlay repairs:
  – Advantages.
  – Disadvantages.
Repair Plan

Plan developed based on PSI results
- Weld material and procedure
- Application side
- Thickness and layers
- Welding direction
- Weld overlay finish
- Clad removal
- Need for PWHT
- Perimeter Edge geometry and preparation
- Inspections
Analysis of Repairs

- Equivalent layer method
- Pass-by-pass simulation
Equivalent Layer Method
displacement magnitude
Pass-By-Pass Simulation
displacement magnitude
Pass-By-Pass Simulation

axial stress

\( S, S_{22} \) (Avg: 75%)

- 1.794e+05
+ 1.556e+05
+ 1.320e+05
+ 1.101e+05
+ 8.720e+04
+ 6.930e+04
+ 4.170e+04
+ 1.880e+04
- 3.792e+03
- 2.603e+03
- 4.539e+03
- 7.113e+04
- 9.488e+04

Step: Step=2080, ResetTemps
Increment: 1.7 Step Time = 5.0000E-03
Primary Var: S, S_{22}
Deformed Var: U Deformation Scale Factor: +1.000e+00
Pass-By-Pass Simulation

hoop stress

$S_{333}$
(Avg: 79%)

-2.122e+05
+1.669e+05
+1.619e+05
+1.362e+05
+1.108e+05
+8.547e+04
+4.013e+04
+3.479e+04
+9.429e+03
-1.592e+04
-4.127e+04
-6.662e+04
-9.197e+04

Shape: Shap-2009_ racesTemps
Increment 1: Step Time = 5.0000E-03
Primary Var: S, S333
Deformed Var: U  Deformation Scale Factor: +1.000e+00
Summary

• Four coke drums experienced different levels of bulging and cracking.

• Bulging severity was assessed using PSI and results were used to develop a long-term repair plan for most severely bulged drum.

• To estimate distortions, the repair plan was analyzed using two methods. Results were compared.

• Experience with repairs are discussed.