Bulging Assessment and Long-Term Repair of Coke Drums

Mahmod Samman, Ph.D., P.E. Houston Engineering Solutions (832) 512-0109 <u>mms@hes.us.com</u> Ediberto B. Tinoco Fábio C. Marangone Petrobras, Brazil







Coke Drum Bulging

- Known for decades.
- Potential serious consequences.
- Premature drum replacement.
- Despite design improvements, still very common.







Bulging-Induced Cracks

EXTERIOR









Bulging Assessment per API-579 / ASME-FFS

• Level 1: N/A to coke drums

- Fabrication tolerance.

- Level 2: N/A to coke drums
 - Stress analysis criterion removed after 2001
 Edition. No replacement yet.
- Level 3: Infeasible and costly process
 - Lack of proper load definition.
 - Costly to obtain data.

www.hes.us.com

- Prohibitive to simulate bulging.



Current Industry Practice

- Stress analysis
- Strain analysis





Stress Analysis

- Linear elastic finite element analysis under unit load.
- Initial drum geometry includes bulges (no plastic strain).
- Assumes that stress concentration factors (SCF) correlate with severity.
- Advantages
 - Simple
- Disadvantages
 - Unrealistic model.

- Excludes primary cause of bulging failure.
- Susceptible to several error sources
- Does not correlate with cracking history. <u>Minimum SCF at</u> peaks of bulges where most failures are observed.



Strain Analysis

- Plastic Strain Index (PSI)TM
- High strain correlates with severity.
- Relates to failure limit of API 579/ ASME FFS
- Advantages:
 - Focuses on primary mode of failure.
 - Excellent correlation with bulging cracks.
 - Failure limits from an industry standard.
- Disadvantages:

www.hes.us.com

- Relatively new (since 2011).



Case Study

- Four sister drums commissioned in 1994.
- Observed bulging to various degrees
- Observed cracking

- Need:
 - Assess bulging and compare to cracks
 - SCF
 - PSI
 - Perform long-term repairs as needed



Equipment Description

- Inside diameter: 6.400 meters (21 ft).
- Tangent-to-tangent length: 22.6 meters (74 ft)
- Material: 1Cr 1/2Mo with stainless steel clad (SA-240 TP405).
- Variable wall thickness: 12.5 to 25 mm (0.492 to 0.984 inch) with 3 mm clad.
- Nominal 48 hour full cycles (24 hour fill).



Radius Map





Plastic Strain Index (PSI)

- 100 - 90 - 80 - 70 - 60

> 50 40

- 30 - 20 - 10 - 0 - -10 - -20 - -20 - -30 - -30 - -40 - -50 - -60 - -70

Negligible ^{*} impact of ovality on PSI results

40

1520

High Severity at crack sites

12

BR

-80 -90



Conclusions from Assessment

- Stress (SCF) and strain (PSI) analysis techniques produced significantly different results.
- SCFs appeared to be susceptible to several error sources such as drum ovality and bulge shape.
- PSI has correlated well with bulging-induced cracks.



Long-Term Bulging Repair

- Plan developed based on PSI results.
- Automated weld overlay is preferred because:
 1.Vast majority of drums are in excellent condition.
 2.No advanced-stage bulging found.
- Automated weld overlay repairs:
 - Advantages.
 - Disadvantages.



Repair Plan

Plan developed based on PSI results

- Weld material and procedure
- Application side
- Thickness and layers
- Welding direction
- Weld overlay finish
- Clad removal
- Need for PWHT
- Perimeter Edge geometry and preparation
- Inspections



Analysis of Repairs

16

- Equivalent layer method
- Pass-by-pass simulation





Equivalent Layer Method displacement magnitude



17



Pass-By-Pass Simulation displacement magnitude





18



Steams Weiting Analysis CDB: advant-bal-ka-kap.adb Abequay/Standard 6.1]-] Ma=Ja=2] 16:59:4] Ca-tail DayAght Time 20:14

www.hes.us.com

Step: Step-2080_ResetTemps Increment 1: Step Time = 5.0000E-03 Primary Var: U, Magnitude Deformed Var: U Deformation Scale Factor: +1.000e+00





Pass-By-Pass Simulation axial stress





19

Succes Webing Analysia ODE: succession-lan-lan-ade Abequay Randard 6.1.3-3 Man June 23 16:55:43 Canual Daylight Time 2014

www.hes.us.com

Step: Step-2080_ResetTemps Increment 1: Step Time = 5.0000E-03 Primary Var: S, S22 Deformed Var: U Deformation Scale Factor: +1.000e+00





Pass-By-Pass Simulation hoop stress





20

BR



Steam Welding Analysis CDB: abame-ballor-ing.adb Abaquay/Standard 6.1]-] Ma=Ja=2] 16:59:4] Ca=tal Day/ght Time 2014

www.hes.us.com

Step: Step-2080_ResetTemps Increment 1: Step Time = 5.0000E-03 Primary Var: S, S33 Deformed Var: U Deformation Scale Factor: +1.000e+00



Summary

- Four coke drums experienced different levels of bulging and cracking.
- Bulging severity was assessed using PSI and results were used to develop a long-term repair plan for most severely bulged drum.
- To estimate distortions, the repair plan was analyzed using two methods. Results were compared.
- Experience with repairs are discussed.

